

**76<sup>th</sup> FAI/ISC PLENARY MEETING, 24-25 JANUARY 2026, RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA**

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Chief Judge's Report – WISC (Dynamic Flying) 2025	<b>AGENDA #</b>	13.2
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	Kristian Moxnes, Chief Judge		
<b>DATE:</b>	05 May 2025	<b>PAGES:</b>	4



## CHIEF JUDGE'S STANDARD REPORT

<b>Competition:</b>	5 <sup>th</sup> FAI World Indoor Skydiving Championships 2025
<b>Event:</b>	Indoor Artistic Events: - Dynamic Solo Speed Open - Dynamic Solo Speed Junior - Dynamic 2-way Open - Dynamic 2-way Junior - Dynamic 4-way Open Not enough team registered in Dynamic 4-way Junior
<b>Place:</b>	Airspace, Charleroi (Belgium)
<b>Date:</b>	24-27 April 2025
<b>Panel of Judges:</b>	CJ: Kristian MOXNES (NOR) EJ: Jean-Philippe CHATELAIN (FRA)  Anna BADDORF (AUT) Jimmy MCCARTHY (UK) Joe GREALY (AUS) Anyia ILYINA (AUS) Sylvain PERNET (FRA)  Assistant to CJ: Jill KNUTSON (UK)
<b>Equipment Used:</b>	<p>The equipment we needed was set up in advance by the organizer. This included 2 big monitors to judge with, and one monitor visible to the competitors from the anti-chamber.</p> <p>We also had access to a room where we could discuss and review the free routines from qualifications.</p> <p>All the video files were synced with Worldskydiving.org . The scores were entered using InTime Controller after the end of each round.</p> <p><b>Dynamr judging system</b> was used while judging.</p> <p>The scores were entered both in a shared Google Sheet (for management of the qualification rounds and tournament tree) and InTime Controller (for broadcasting to InTime website and worldskydiving.org).</p>
<b>Details of the Judges Work:</b>	<b>Competition preparation in scoring system:</b>

<p><i>(including judging statistics)</i></p>	<p>The preparation of the competition in InTime scoring system was done by Dirk Venter before the competition. The final preparation of InTime (the tournament table and judges) was done by myself together with Dirk (on the phone) and the help of Thierry Courtin (FRA).</p> <p><b>Judges' conference:</b></p> <p>The judges' conference was held on April 23<sup>rd</sup>. We watched and scored speed routines from previous competitions.</p> <p><b>Judging course:</b></p> <p>Jean-Philippe and myself held a judges' course leading up to the competition, both candidates passed the course; Sylvain Pernet (FRA) and Jill Knutson (UK)</p> <p>Following JC's approval, Sylvain was on the panel during the competition, and Jill acted as assistant to CJ. They both did an excellent job.</p> <p><b>Competition:</b></p> <p>4 days of competition was long for the judges, but necessary to complete all events. The competitors in Dynamic 4-way had a day off during the competition, as they flew less rounds than the other events.</p> <p>We made one mistake (that we know of) during judging, we missed a wrong execution of a mixer. This mistake might be attributed to fatigue or work overload. Luckily, this had no influence on the ranking order.</p> <p>We have never judged this many flights during a competition, the breakdown was:</p> <p>Dynamic Solo Open – 142 flights  Dynamic Solo Junior – 68 flights  Dynamic 2-way Open – 99 flights  Dynamic 2-way Junior – 42 flights  Dynamic 4-way – 36 flights  TOT: 387 flights</p> <p><b>Competitors meeting:</b></p> <p>A rich and interesting discussion took place between the judges and the competitors. No particular comments were made about the competition itself; points of the rules will be discussed by the committee in the light of the suggestions made.</p> <p><b>InTime and video upload</b></p> <p>We had no-one on site to help with InTime, and this put extra work on</p>
--	---

	<p>the panel. Luckily we had remote help from Dirk from InTime, and FAI judge Thierry Courtin. Without this help scores and videos would not have been uploaded as required.</p> <p><b>Such situation must be fixed somehow.</b></p> <p><b>Judging statistics:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Dynamic Solo Open: 23 competitors, 142 flights</li> <li>- Dynamic Solo Junior: 11 competitors, 68 flights</li> <li>- Dynamic 2-way Open: 17 teams, 99 flights</li> <li>- Dynamic 2-way Junior: 7 teams, 42 flights</li> <li>- Dynamic 4-way: 4 teams, 36 flights</li> </ul> <p>A total of 387 flights were judged in Dynamic</p> <p>One re-flight. One challenge (Judges upheld their decision). No protest.</p>
<b>Recommendations for Organisers:</b>	<p>Transportation to and from the tunnel could have been organized better. We were 14 judges (Freestyle and Dynamic), sharing one van.</p> <p>The restaurant in the windtunnel ran out of several items on the menu pre competition. Some Judges had to find food for themselves. Food during the competition was good.</p>
<b>Rule Changes Proposals:</b>	<p>Based on judging experience and feedback from the competitors meeting, the Dynamic committee will discuss rule change proposals in the months to come.</p>
<b>Personal remarks:</b>	<p><b>Dynamic judging area:</b></p> <p>The Dynamic judging area was set up in time and according to the rules and needs of Dynamic.</p> <p><b>Dynamic solo Speed:</b></p> <p>New this year was the addition of Solo speed. This event was well attended (34 competitors), and differs from the other Dynamic events in that it has no Free routine. We foresee that this event will continue to grow, <b>requiring additional judges on the panel, namely of full panel of three judges.</b> The draw is shared between Open and Junior categories, and interestingly, the junior competitors are often faster than the open competitors.</p> <p><b>The Dynamic Committee will require a panel of 3 judges for Solo Speed.</b></p> <p><b>Chain of communication:</b></p>

	<p>The chain of communication was not respected by the Organiser, often referring to panel judges instead of CJ or EJ, which led to some difficulties during the competition.</p> <p><b>Information:</b></p> <p>The detailed schedule of the competition was published in bulletin #2 and on Tunnel 3 (Airspace' scheduling system). Any information from the organizer was spread through a WhatsApp group. The Dynamic competitors also got results from the competition through a dedicated WhatsApp group.</p> <p><b>Waivers:</b></p> <p>Given the timing of the competition and the right to protest for two hours, a Waiver was again proposed. This was unanimously accepted.</p> <p><b>Cheating:</b></p> <p>With the agreement of the FAI controller, a warning was imposed on one performer. However, this situation, in which competitors solicit family, friends or coaches, should no longer be an issue, given the abolition of the challenge from May 1<sup>st</sup> 2025.</p> <p><b>Number of judges:</b></p> <p>After one of the judges did not confirm her availability in January, we were short one judge. Luckily we were able to use one of the newly qualified judges from the judges course.</p> <p><b>Accommodation and food:</b></p> <p>Accommodation was excellent, and food was good. Due to a tight judging schedule we missed out of lunch one day, and dinner another.</p> <p><b>Attention to judges:</b></p> <p>I am very happy with the panel of judges. With a high workload and minimal breaks, they did a great job of judging the competition.</p>
<p><i>According to Sporting Code Section 6.7.1.5 this is to be sent to the Chair of the ISC Judges Committee, the Chair of the relevant discipline Committee and the ISC Recording Secretary within 30 days of the completion of the competition.</i></p>	
<p><b>Name/Signature:</b></p>	<p>Kristian Moxnes</p>
<p><b>Date:</b></p>	<p>05/05/2025</p>